

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the official action dated August 22, 2003. Claims 1-3 were pending in the application. Claims 1-3 were rejected. No claims were allowed by the Examiner.

By way of this amendment, the Applicant has amended Claim 1. Claims 2 and 3 remain unchanged.

Accordingly, Claims 1-3 are currently pending.

I. **REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 USC 112**

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner stated that the claim recites the electronic component being in physical contact with the structural frame and that this limitation is not disclosed in the specification or drawings.

The Applicant has amended the claim to clearly reflect the relationship between the claimed elements. Specifically, the Applicant has amended the claim to describe the relationship between the structural frame, electronic component and electronic circuit board as originally disclosed in the specification and drawings.

Claims 1-3 were also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner stated that phrase in physical contact as describing the relationship between the structural frame and the electronic component.

As stated above, the Applicant has amended the claim to clearly reflect the relationship between the claimed elements. Specifically, the Applicant has amended the claim to more definitely identify the relationship between the structural frame, electronic component and electronic circuit board.

In view of the amendments to the claims, the Applicant asserts that the claims now comply both with the §112, first paragraph requirement for a reasonable written description and the §112, second paragraph requirement for definiteness. Therefore, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Serial No. 10/050,384


II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Applicant asserts that all of the pending rejections regarding the claims of the present Application have been traversed and that the present amendment by complying with the requirements set forth by the Examiner has placed the application in condition for allowance. Therefore, the Applicant asserts that Claims 1-3 are in condition for allowance and the application ready for issue.

Corresponding action is respectfully solicited.

PTO is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred as a result of the filing hereof or credit any overpayment to our account #02-0900.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark E. Tetreault, Esq.
Reg. No. 48,289

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, Ltd.
101 Dyer Street, 5th Floor
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 273-4446 (tel)
(401) 273-4447 (fax)
met@barjos.com